App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1929. (Inwards)

File No. 67-29

Serial Nos. 1 10

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 10

Part B for April 1931.

SUBJECT.

Disposal of S. No. 2 of Doddadevarasandra village, Hoskote Taluq as Inam lands assigned for the emolument pertaining to service in the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Lakkondahalli

---

No. 2328 dated 20.4.31 — To the Inwards Comr

The Inam lands should be leased out to the best advantage of the institution

26

G. R. S. APP F.111—1] 1929.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inspection)

NOTES.

File No. 67/1929

FILE HEADING.

Alienation of Mantapa shipa Inam S no 23 of Domma Sandra Village endowed to Sri Venkatasamana Swamy temple at Lakkondakalli, Hosakote Taluk—

FROM

The D.C.

No. appl 1. Dated 16.8.29 Received

Diary No. 245	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends for reasons stated that the land may be sold to the alienee - Venkatesh. for an upset price of Rs 150/ cancelling the Inam tenure and charging it with full assessment, the Jari amount of Rs 3-8-0 being given as cash grant

NOTES AND ORDERS.

to the inspn from the State Revenue
1 D offr. calling for Inam extracts is
Submitted.

30/8/29

2 Dom
30/8

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.	
4	2o The Inam Supdt C to 801 of 21-10 29 Asked him under F. D. No 1895 of 9-6-96, certain lands in ~~Dodda~~ Annasandra Village have been granted Inam for Inamdarship & Archaka & requests to send the details. Inam enquiry - N. C.
5.	From, The Inam Supt., Letter No. 118 of 13. 11 29. Reports with Ref. to SL. 4 that no such Inam Grant has been made in favor of the said temple - & Dist. calling for Correct particulars of Inamdarship Inam in question from the Amildar Gulbarga. AV 21-11-29. 7/Done 21.11.29 21.11.29
6.	To The Muz. Comr No 1109 of 21-11-29 Forwards copies of letter [illegible]

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

App. F. III—2]

Mrz File No. 67 of 1934.

Serial No.	
7	Letter no: Appl 31/28-29 dated 26.9.30 From the Mrz. Comm. Forwards the particulars & recommends that this proposal may be sanctd. Submitted for orders as regards the GS Mrz recommendations in Serial 1. 8/10. H. Secy) 10.10.30
B	It is seen from the records that the service for which the land had been originally granted is not being performed (during the last 30 years) - The resumption of the land and leasing it for Gutta seems to be in order. As the land is capable of yielding an annual Gutta of Rs 40/-, it is for consideration if the sale price of Rs 150 proposed by the Murarai Commissioner is adequate. An enquiry may perhaps be made if the land is still in the enjoyment

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328--GPB—10,000 22 4-30

Revenue File No 67 of 1929 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

of Venkatasea or has been made over to Patel Era Reddi for 3 years. } A.

Law Secy) VS 22.10.30

A — Office enquiries may be put up. Nos of the adequacy of the price in area also be mentioned. B.

B. 29/10

VS 30.10.30

A note 27/10 — for dft

Please put up a fair draft.

VS 1.11.30

Fair draft submitted for kind approval.

27/11/30 Private.

Law Secy) VS 4.11.30

Approved B. 1/11/30

Issue

VS 14.11.30

No 1109 of 15-11-30 to the Madras Commissioner calling for additional information —

gws 17/11 V

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

9. No 31/28-29. 9 1-4-1930 from The Muzrai Commissioner forwarding enclosures with Collector's

As seen from Records S. No 23 of Woddadimmanahalli village - Hoskote Tk appears to be a valuable zone. Vide report flagged Z. It is stated to fetch more than Rs 300/- if sold in public auction. The minimum value is stated to be Rs 300/- Records also go to show that Venkatesayya has enjoyed the benefits from the land for the past 30 years without rendering any kind of service to the temple. In these circumstances it is submitted that at least the estimated minimum value of the land be got from him. The Muzrai Commr proposes taking only Rs 150/- only.

9-5 MSK
9-4 H. Secy

10.4.31

I am afraid it is not possible to accept the Commr's recommendation. The land is valued by the valuation officer at Rs 300 and it may fetch more than Rs 300 if sold by public auction. Venkatesayya has enjoyed the property for 30 years without rendering any service to the institution and I do not think there is any equity on his side for the grant

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

1323

of Compensation for dispossession.

The land has ~~not~~ been leased out and it is
in the occupation of the lessee - The
Mr Shields - Vide report of 16-2-31 - States that C.
The service is (Metcalfe) necessary -

In the circumstances the only reasonable course
to adopt is to permit the leasing of the land
to the best advantage of the inhabitants

There is no need to cancel the ~~present~~ tenure
and sell it as Crown land to Municipality, thereby
a liability on part of the ~~Govt~~ Council

1101 in this case -

1st Member

14-4-31

I agree

Minute
14-4-31

Q & Note Dept. ~~noted~~

Issue
VS
16.4.31

1323 of 20 4 1931 to the Mayor
C. inviting his observations
to the grant of the land proposed to be
sold to the Municipality for
[illegible]

03.9

[5]

14 AUG 192 No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 1st August 192

14

No. appl 31 En 37 files
28-9

FROM

L. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SECRETARIAT
15 AUG 1929
MUZRAI

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., F.L., D 265
Officer on Special Duty in the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that [illegible] of Sri Venkataramana temple at Bellandur, Varthur Hobli, [illegible] praying that the [illegible] over an inam land bearing

Name of village	Survey No.	Area	Assessment	Tenant
Bommasandra village	S.No. 23 dry	3-30	2-0	[illegible]

being enjoyed by one Venkatesiah son of [illegible] without [illegible] service and [illegible] rectified, the [illegible] regularised. We [illegible] before the Tahsildar of Yelahanka that the [illegible] SC measured [illegible] which [illegible] do any service all along, but he did not know what to do with the [illegible] it [illegible] substitute [illegible] not therefore [illegible] been enjoyed by [illegible] the Sub Division Officer, [illegible] remuneration of [illegible] the service and [illegible] annual [illegible] cutta of Rs. 10/- [illegible] to the Tahsildar of Sulibele [illegible] the service of [illegible] in the temple, [illegible]

and states that he is ready do it, if Rathotsava is revived
appeal to the Deputy Commissioner against the Sub Division Officer's
order having been dismissed as time-barred, the case came up --
before this office in appeal. His main contention is that the
Inam land has been in the enjoyment of himself and his forefathers
from a long time and that he should not therefore be dispossessed
of it. He states that he is ready to do the service as usual.
In view of the fact that the man has been in possession for a --
long time and the service does not seem to have been performed
even at the time of the Inam settlement it may not be possible to
resume the land. I beg therefore to recommend that the land may
be sold to him for an upset price of Re.100/- cancelling the Inam
tenure and charging it with full assessment and the amount -----
realized deposited in Savings Bank for the benefit of the insti-
tution.

By the cancellation of the Inam tenure and the conversion of
tasdik to sircar, the hari amount of Re.3-0-0, which has been -
ceded for the benefit of the temple when the land was granted as
Inam will accrue to Government. I therefore submit that a ---
permanent cash grant of Re.3-0-0 may be sanctioned to the temple
out of the General Revenues, as the full assessment on the land
is credited to Land Revenue.

The records are herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inam

File No. 71

Serial No. 21

No. 608

Diary No. of letter replied to.....245.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....The Inam Settlement Officer

Date of issue.....2/9/28.....

Signed by. P. K. Somasundaram

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference, either the original or an authenticated copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of Inam S. No. 23 of Donnasandra village for Mantrapushpa Service in Sri Venkatramana Swamy temple at Lakkundakoppa

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Hoskoté Dakuka

Il franc clé.



No. 80

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore,....30th..Septr. ....1929.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
5 OCT. 1929
No. 4425

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L., 639.
Financial Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.608/Miz.67-29-2 dated 9-9-1929 calling for reference either the original or an authenticated copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of Inam survey No.23 of Domnasandra village, I have the honor to request you to kindly enlighten me as to the Hobli to which this village Domnasandra belongs.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

T.B.

R.S. III—

ROUTINE NOTES.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for

B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be circled, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inam.
File No. 67-9
Serial No. 4
No. 801.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

To
The Inam Supdt.

Date of issue 21/1/29

Signed by 1/2 L.K. Govinda Raja

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 80 Dy 5.10.28, I am directed to state that under T.D. 20 1295 Dy 9.6.1896, certain lands in Doida Gunna Sandra in Anugondahalli Hobli of the Hoskote Taluk have been granted as inam for maintenance Service in the temple of Sri Venkataramana Swamy at Lakkenahalli Hoskote Taluk and to pay

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

that either the original or a copy
of the detailed Farm Register pertaining
to these lands may be submitted
for reference at any early date.

I have etc

[signature]
D. J. Scully

No 118
2930

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
14 NOV 1929

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore, 12th November *192* 9.

13

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore

GENL. & REV. 15 NOV 98 MYSORE

To

Muz

B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 801/Muz.67-29-4 dated 21-10-1929 re: grant of certain lands as Inam in Dodda Dunnasandra village, Anagondahalli Hobli of the Hoskote Taluk for Mantrapushpa service in the temple of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Lakkondahalli, Hoskote Taluk, I have the honour to state that it is seen from the Inam Records that no such grant has been made in favour of the said temple.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Superintendent.

BKK.

WD063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

G—1

JECT.....

.....

.....

Section - Uwz

File No. 67-29

Serial No. 6.

No. 1109/3files

...y No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

.....The Tahsildar.....

...te of issue.....26-11-29.....

...gned by.....Mr. A. V. Rao.....

...ist of enclosures.....

...opied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no appl 31 dt 14th Aug 29 Recommending that Mantapa Inam S. no. 28-2 Dommasandra Village, endowed to Sri Venkata Ramana Swamy temple at Lakkondanahalli, Hoskote Taluk, may be sold to the alienee Venkatesh for an upset price of Rs

over

I am directed to forward
herewith copies of Comms
Nos 50 and 118 of 30.9.29 &
13.11.29 respectively from the Supt.
Rev Survey and Settlements
stating that no such grant
has been made in favour
of the said Temple and
to request that correct particulars
of the alien land in question
may kindly be furnished
(2) The records are herewith
returned for ref & re-turn

I have etc

A.S. Smith

D/D/L Secty

Muz 67-29-7

TR I

ICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,...24th..September.....193 0.

No. Appl 31 Enc Record

H.85 Muz

FROM S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~Rajakxxxxxxxxx~~
~~P.G.D'SOUZA, Esq.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

FINANCIAL
27 SEP
No.
29-9-30

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1169/Muz.67-27-6 dated 22nd November 1929, calling for the correct particulars re the Inam No. referred to therein I have the honor to state that S.No.23 of Bodda Dunnasandra village in Hoskote Taluk is an inam land endowed to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Takkondanahalli for purpose of service, as will be seen from the Survey Superintendent's letter No.20 dated 7th August 1930 (copy enclosed) and the extract of the Inam Register sent by him. The entry in the Muzrai Quit-rent register which has been prepared in the Muzrai office on the basis of the Revenue Quit rent register is evidently due to a mistake committed in copying from the latter register. As already recommended in my previous letter, the land may be converted into service inam and confirmed to Mr. Venkatesiah on his paying an upset price of Rs.150/- and cash grant equal to the jari amount sanctioned in favour of the temple.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Ra Narasimha Raghavan
for MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.
(Three and three quarters)

Letter No.20/ dated 7th August 1930 from the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mys re.

With reference to your letter No.31/28-29 dated 25th March - 1930 requesting intimation as to which temple survey No.23 of ---- Doddadunnasandra has been granted as a devadaya inam I have the honor to state that it is seen from the inam register the grantee is Sri Venkataramanaswami at Lokkandahalli. A copy of the Inam Register - pertaining to the said grant is enclosed for reference as desired by you.

The file of records received with your letter under reply is herewith returned.

" C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

CLASS, EXTENT AND V

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		As per account of 1808		
2	Devadruga	Dry No. 167	k. k. p. 0 - 5 — 0	

Summat of Inigaluru in the Taluk of Hosakoti

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
P. F. V. 2—0—0. = 5-13-2	/	For Anantapurushpam Service to Venkatāramanaswami of Kakkondahalli. Temple in good order and Services performed.	7.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INA

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of Bangalore

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Pymayashi 1805 Venkataramana- Swami. k. k. p. Dry. 0 – 6 – ¾	Jari account 1831. As in 1808.
	Jari account of 1808. Venkataramana- Swami. k k.p. p. F.V Dry. 0-5-0. 2-0-0. Jodi 0-1-0. 1-9-0. Old Jari 0-3-8. Jasti 0-15-8	

of the Nandidurg

OF SUBSEQUENT A PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Lakkondahalli Venkataramana- Swami. Managers protem	/	/	/

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

1. According to the account of 1808, this Inam is Valid under Rule I and is a whole grant.

2. The Jodi mentioned in the accounts is not paid for reasons unknown from before 1834 as per Suvarnadaya account of that year

3. The Reimposition of the Jodi ablē Solongam interval is not ~~Recommended~~ Recommended. The Inam may be confirmed under Rule IV free.

4. Narasimha Bhatt of Devanakundi claimed this Inam as his, but as it is a Devadaya grant, it is registered in the name of the institution and the claimant has been referred to the Regular courts

(Sd) V. N. Narasimhiengar.
Assistant.

17-4-74.

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9-8-06.

Review by the Special assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

20.

---

1. Vide Arzi No: 78 received with the Inam Superintendent's No: 14. Dated 5th May 1874. as the Inam has been registered in the name of the institution the petitioner (Seshachar) Should seek to obtain its enjoyment if he is entitled to it through the Regular courts. Endorsed accordingly.

(Sd) V. N. Narasimhiengar.
Assistant.

8.5.74.

2. Await Reply on Jodi question.

(Sd) F. P. Foote
Inam Commissioner.

29.9.74.

3. Whole grant. Devadaya. Jari.
4. Confirmed on a combined quit-rent of Rs. 3/- pending final orders.

(Sd) R. A. Cole
Superintendent of Inam Settlt.

1.1275.

5. Chief Commissioner's Pro: No. 2805/94 D/. 20th June 1876.
6. Confirmed as per paraly.

(Sd) R. A. Cole
Superintendent.

9-3-77.

Compared.

"Copy"

J. Hemmenway Rose
For Superintendent of
Mysore Revenue Survey
4/8/30

1109 Law. 15-11-30.

Muz 67-29-8

The Muz. Commr. in Mysore.

Muz 67—29

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. Appl. 31/28-29 dated 26-9-30 in the matter of the disposal of S.No. 23 of Dodda Dunnasandra village in Hoskote Tq. an Inam land endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswami temple in Lakkondahalli for Mantrapushpa service, I am directed to enquire if the sale price of Rs. 150/- proposed by you is adequate in view of the land being capable of yielding an annual gutta of Rs. 40/- and if the land is still in the enjoyment of Venkatesaiya therein referred to or has been made over to the Patel Era Reddi for 3 years. The records received with your letter are returned for reference and kind return.

A reply at an early date is requested.

I have &c.,

4.11.30.

for ~~Law~~ Secy. to Govt.
Law Dept.

C.R.G.

28-9 File

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 31st March *1931*

1/4.

Muz 67-29-9

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

2 APR. 1931

To

Rajasevasakta
B. Chinnaswamy Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SECRETARIAT 1 OCT. 1931 1323 GENERAL

SIR,

With reference to our letter No.A 09/Inam.67-29-3/ dated 15th November 1930, in the matter of disposal of S. No.23 of Doddajoumasandra village in Hoskote Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District reports in his letter No.C5/4308 dated 25th instant that the land in question is in the possession of Tirumalaiah, lessee of the land and is estimated at Rs.300/- per acre minimum. In view of the long enjoyment of the land by Venkatesaiya and his family and the present fair rent being largely due to the improvements done to the land by him and his family, I think that the upset price of Rs.150/- proposed in our letter No.Appl.31/28-29 dated 26th September 1930 may be considered as fair.

(509)

The entire records are resubmitted herewith.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Yeraswiga Rao

for Muzrai Commissioner.

67

RC 2328 / Series 29-29. 6
Encl / Bill

17th April 1931.

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner, Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. Appl. 31 of 1928-29 dated the 1st April 1931 in the matter of the disposal of S.No. 23 of Doddadunnasandra village in Hoskote Taluk - an Inam land assigned for the Manthrapushpa service in the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Lakkondahalli of the same Taluk, I am directed to state that there is no need to cancel the Inam tenure and sell it as Sircar land to Venkatesaiya as proposed in your letter under advertance. As the Manthra Pushpa Service to the temple is reported to be necessary, the connected Inam land should be leased out to te the best advantage of the Institution. The records received with your letter are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subramanyasastri

for Secretary to Government,
S.S
Financial Department.

C.R.G.

ndix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193

Date of issue 7.8.31

Signed by V.S. Sastry

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Mu3
File No. 67 - 29
Serial No. 10.
No. 2328
Cul I file

To
The C-C

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. Appl 31/28-29 dt 1-4-31 in the matter of the disposal of S. No 23 of Doddadeenna Sandra Village in Hosakote Taluk — an Inam land assigned for the Mantrapushpa Service in the Sri Venkatramanaswamy temple at Lakkondahalli of the same Tk, I am directed to state that

over

there is no need to cancel
the Inam tenure and see
the case Sivan land to ~~the~~
~~his~~ Venkatesaiya as proposed
in your letter under advance
~~I am also to add that~~
the Inamdar Sahib Service
holding temple is reported to be necessary,
~~as~~ the Enclosed Inam land
should ~~may~~ be leased out to the
highest advantage of the
Institution - ~~in those circumstances~~
The records received with
your letter are herewith returned.

Yours &c

16.4.31

16. For Secretary to Govt
Rev. Dept

Q-S
16/4